arXiv:1609.06901v1 [math.RA] 22 Sep 2016

ON GROWTH OF METABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

DİLBER KOÇAK

Abstract. For any integer $d \geq 1$ we construct examples of finitely presented algebras with intermediate growth of type $[e^{n^{d/(d+1)}}]$. We produce these examples by computing the growth types of some finitely presented metabelian Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

Let A be an (not necessarily associative) algebra over a field k generated by a finite set X, and X^n denote the subspace of A spanned by all monomials on X of length at most n. The *growth function* of A with respect to X is defined by

$$\gamma_{X,A}(n) = dim_k(X^n)$$

This function depends on the choice of the generating set X. To remove this dependence the following equivalence relation is introduced: Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be two monotone functions on $\mathbb{N}$. We write $f \precsim g$ if there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) \leq Cg(Cn)$ for all natural numbers n. If $f \precsim g$ and $g \precsim f$, we say f and g are equivalent and denote this by $f \sim g$. The equivalence class containing f is denoted by $[f]$ and is called the *growth rate of* f. Set $[f] \leq [g]$ if and only if $f \precsim g$.
The growth rate $[2^n]$ is called *exponential* and a growth rate strictly less than exponential is called *subexponential*. A subexponential growth which is greater than $[n^d]$ for any d is called *intermediate*.
The growth rate is a widely studied invariant for finitely generated algebraic structures such as groups, semigroups and algebras. The notion of growth for groups was introduced by Schwarz [Šva55] and independently by Milnor [Mil68]. The study of growth of algebras dates back to the papers by Gelfand and Kirillov, [GK66a, GK66b]. A theorem of Milnor and Wolf [Mil68, Wol68] states that any solvable group has a polynomial growth if it is virtually nilpotent, otherwise it has exponential growth. The description of groups of polynomial growth was obtained by Gromov in his celebrated work [Gro81]. He proved that every finitely generated group of polynomial growth is virtually nilpotent. The situation for algebras is different from the case of groups. M. Smith [Smi76] showed that there exists an infinite dimensional solvable Lie algebra L whose universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$ has intermediate growth. Later, in [Lic84], Lichtman proved that the universal envelope of an arbitrary finitely generated infinite dimensional virtually solvable Lie algebra has intermediate growth. In [LU95], Lichtman and Ufnarovski showed that the growth rate of a finitely generated free solvable Lie algebra of derived length $k > 3$ and its universal envelope are almost exponential (this means that it is less than exponential growth $[2^n]$ but greater than the growth $[2^{n^\alpha}]$ for any $\alpha < 1$).
The first examples of finitely generated groups of intermediate growth were constructed by Grigorchuk [Gri83, Gri84]. It is still an open problem whether there exists finitely presented groups of intermediate growth. In contrast, there are examples of finitely presented algebras of intermediate growth. The first such example is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra W with basis $\{w_{-1}, w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots\}$ and brackets defined by $[w_i, w_j] = (i-j)w_{i+j}$. W is a subalgebra of the generalized Witt algebra $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see [AS74, p.206] for definitions). It was proven in [Ste75] that W has a finite presentation with two generators and six relations. It is also a graded algebra with generators of degree -1 and 2. Since W has linear growth, its universal enveloping algebra has growth $[e^{\sqrt{n}}]$ and it is finitely presented. Known examples of finitely presented algebras of intermediate growth have growth of type $[e^{\sqrt{n}}]$. In this note we present examples of finitely presented associative algebras of intermediate growth having different growth types. Specifically, our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *For any positive integer d, there exists a finitely presented associative algebra with intermediate growth of type $[e^{n^{d/d+1}}]$.*

The steps in proving the theorem are as follows: In [Bau77], Baumslag established the fact that every finitely generated metabelian Lie algebra can be embedded in a finitely presented metabelian Lie algebra. Using ideas of [Bau77] (and clarifying some arguments thereof), we embed the free metabelian Lie algebra M (with d generators) into a finitely presented metabelian Lie algebra W^+. Next we show that W^+ has polynomial growth of type $[n^d]$. Finally, considering the universal enveloping algebra of W^+ we obtain a finitely presented associative algebra of growth type $[e^{n^{d/d+1}}]$.

2. Growth of a finitely generated free metabelian Lie algebra

Let k be a field and L a Lie algebra over k generated by a finite set X. Elements of X are monomials of length 1. Inductively, a monomial of length n is an element of the form $[u, v]$, where u is a monomial of length $i < n$ and v is monomial of length $n - i$. Every element of L is a linear combination of monomials. If $a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$ then $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is defined inductively by

$$[a_1, \dots, a_n] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}], a_n] \text{ for } n > 2$$

Monomials of the form $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ are called *left-normed*. We will frequently use the following simple lemmas in the remainder of this note.

Lemma 1. *Let x, y, z be elements of a Lie algebra and $[x, y] = 0$. Then the following relations hold:*

$$[x, [y, z]] = [y, [x, z]]$$

$$[x, z, y] = [y, z, x].$$

Proof. Direct consequence of Jacobi identity. □

Lemma 2. *Any element of a Lie algebra can be written as a linear combination of left-normed monomials.*

Proof. By induction on the length of monomials. □

Definition A Lie algebra L is called *solvable of derived length n* if

$$L^{(n)} = 0 \text{ and } L^{(n-1)} \neq 0$$

where $L^{(m+1)} = [L^{(m)}, L^{(m)}]$ and $L^{(0)} = L$. We also denote $L^{(1)} = [L, L]$ by L' and call it the *commutator* of L. A solvable Lie algebra of derived length 2 is called *metabelian*.

Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ be a finite set and L_X be the free Lie algebra generated by X. $M = L_X/L_X^{(2)}$ is the free metabelian Lie algebra generated by X. The following proposition can be found in [Bok63].

Proposition 1. *Let M be a free metabelian Lie algebra over a field k with the generating set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ and $x_1 < \dots < x_d$ be an order on X. If $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of left-normed monomials of the form*

$$[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$$

where $a_0 > a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}$ for $a_i \in X$ and $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ forms a basis for M.

Proof. Let M_n denote the subspace of M spanned by all left-normed monomials of length n in M ($M_0 = k$ and M_1 is the subspace spanned by X). Since the relations $[x, y] = -[y, x]$ for $x, y \in M$ and Jacobi identity are homogeneous, $M_k \cap M_l = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. By Lemma 2, we get $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$ and $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$ where $\mathcal{B}_n$ denotes the set of monomials of length n in $\mathcal{B}$. Hence, it is enough to check that $\mathcal{B}_n$ is a basis of M_n for any $n \geq 1$ i.e.,

(i) Any element of M_n can be written as a linear combination of the elements of B_n.
(ii) Elements of $\mathcal{B}_n$ are linearly independent.

For $n = 1$, $\mathcal{B}_1 = X$, so (i) and (ii) hold.
Assume $n = 2$. By the anti-symmetry of the Lie bracket

$$[x, y] = -[y, x]$$

for any $x, y \in X$. So $\mathcal{B}_2$ spans M_2.
Assume $n = 3$ and $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in X$ such that $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq y_3$. There are at most 6 different monomials of length 3 containing y_1, y_2, y_3. They are

$$m_1 = [y_1, y_2, y_3]$$
$$m_2 = [y_1, y_3, y_2]$$
$$m_3 = [y_2, y_1, y_3]$$
$$m_4 = [y_2, y_3, y_1]$$
$$m_5 = [y_3, y_1, y_2]$$
$$m_6 = [y_3, y_2, y_1]$$

m_3 and m_5 are either 0 or the elements of $\mathcal{B}_3$ (For example, if $y_1 = y_3$, $m_5 = 0$).

$$m_1 = [y_1, y_2, y_3] = -[y_2, y_1, y_3] = -m_3$$
$$m_2 = [y_1, y_3, y_2] = -[y_3, y_1, y_2] = -m_5$$

and by Jacobi identity,

$$\begin{aligned} m_4 &= [y_2, y_3, y_1] \\ &= -[y_1, y_2, y_3] - [y_3, y_1, y_2] \\ &= [y_2, y_1, y_3] - [y_3, y_1, y_2] \\ &= m_3 - m_5 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$m_6 = -m_4 = m_5 - m_3$$

Hence, $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{[x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}] \mid x_{i_0} > x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2},\ x_{i_j} \in X\}$ spans M_3.
Now assume that $\mathcal{B}_k$ spans M_k for any $k \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. Let $a = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be an element of length $n+1$ in M where $a_i \in X$. By the assumption $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$ can be written as a linear combination of the elements of $\mathcal{B}_n$. So a is a linear combination of the elements of the form $[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n]$ where $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ is a permutation of $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $a_{i_0} > a_{i_1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{i_{n-1}}$. If $a_n \geq a_i$ for any $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, then $[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n]$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. Otherwise there exists $j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that a_{i_j} is the smallest element satisfying $a_{i_j} > a_n$. If $j = 0$ then again $[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n]$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. If $j > 0$, we apply Jacobi identity to $[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n]$,

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n] &= -([[a_n, [a_{i_0}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-2}}]], a_{n-1}] + [[a_{n-1}, a_n], [a_{i_0}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-2}}]]) \\ &= [a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-2}}, a_n, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq 1$, we can repeat this $n - j - 1$ times and get

$$[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n] = [a_{i_0}, \ldots, a_{i_j}, a_n, a_{i_{j+1}}, \ldots, a_{n-1}] \tag{1}$$

If $j \geq 2$, we apply the identity one more time and get

$$[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}, a_n] = [a_{i_0}, \ldots, a_{i_{j-1}}, a_n, a_{i_j}, a_{i_{j+1}}, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$$

and it is a monomial in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. If $j = 1$,

$$[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, a_n, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_{n-1}}]$$

and since $[a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, a_n]$ is an element of the vector space spanned by $\mathcal{B}_3$, a is a linear combination of monomials in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. To complete the proof , we also need to verify (ii) for any $n \geq 2$:
Since $M_i \cap M_j$ for any i, j, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = 0$ where $c_i \in k$ and $p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in M_i$ implies that either $k_i = 0$ or $p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = 0$. If $p_n(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_j [x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_n}]$ for $c_j \in k$, $x_{j_t} \in X$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, $t \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ then the indices $j_1, \ldots, j_n$ are permutations of $1_1, \ldots, 1_n$ for any $j \in$

$\{1,\dots,l\}$. For $n=2$, $[x,y]\in\mathcal{B}_2$ implies $[y,x]\notin\mathcal{B}_2$. So $\mathcal{B}_2$ forms a basis for M_2.
For $n=3$, let $m_1,\dots,m_6$ be monomials of length 3 as we defined before. Among them only m_3 and m_5 are in $\mathcal{B}_3$, and $m_3\neq cm_5$ for any $c\in k$. Hence, (ii) holds for $n=3$.
Now assume that for $3\leq i\leq n$, the elements of $\mathcal{B}_i$ are linearly independent and let $[a_0,a_1,\dots,a_n]\in\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. Assume that for $i\in\{1,\dots,m\}$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, there are indices $i_0,\dots,i_n$ which are permutations of $0,\dots,n$ such that

$$[a_{i_0},\dots,a_{i_n}]\in\mathcal{B}_{n+1}\text{ for any }i\in\{1,\dots,m\}$$

and

$$[a_0,a_1,\dots,a_n]=\sum_{i=1}^{m}c_i[a_{i_0},\dots,a_{i_n}]\text{ for some }c_i\in k$$

Choose the smallest element a_j among $\{a_0,\dots,a_n\}$. It is clear that $j\neq 0$ and if $j=1$ then there exists $k>1$ such that $a_1=a_k$. So we can assume that $j\geq 2$. Similarly, for any $i\in\{1,\dots,m\}$, there exists $k_i\geq 2$ such that $a_j=a_{i_{k_i}}$. By using equation 1, we can replace a_j and a_n in $[a_0,\dots,a_n]$ and $a_{i_{k_i}}$ and a_{i_n} in all monomials $[a_{i_0},\dots,a_{i_n}]$, $i\in\{1,\dots,m\}$. We get

$$[b,a_j]=\sum_{i=1}^{m}c_i[b_i,a_j]$$

for $b,b_1,\dots,b_m\in M_n$. Any element of M_n can be written as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}_n$ but this contradicts that $\mathcal{B}_n$ is linearly independent. Hence, we conclude that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis of M.
□

Corollary 1. *Let M be a free metabelian Lie algebra over a field k with generating set $X=\{x_1,\dots,x_d\}$. Then M has polynomial growth of degree d.*

Proof. Let $x_1<\dots<x_d$ be the order on X. The growth function of M is

$$\gamma_{X,M}(n)=dim_k(X^n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\mathcal{B}_k|$$

where $\mathcal{B}_k$ denotes the set of basis elements of length k as in Proposition 1. For $m>2$, consider $\mathcal{B}_m$. The elements of $\mathcal{B}_m$ are of the form

$$a=[a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{m-1}]$$

where $a_i\in X$ and $a_0>a_1\leq a_2\leq\dots\leq a_{m-1}$.
If $a_1=x_j$ for some $j\in 1,\dots,d-1$ then for $i\in 1,\dots,m-1$, $a_i\in\{x_j,\dots,x_d\}$ and $a_0\in\{x_{j+1},\dots,x_d\}$. So for fixed $a_1=x_j$, the number of basis elements of length m is

$$(d-j)\binom{m-2+d-j}{d-j}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_m| &= \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(d-j)\binom{m-2+d-j}{d-j}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\frac{(m-2+d-1)+\dots+(m-2+1)}{(d-j-1)!}\\
&\sim \sum_{i=0}^{d-2}\frac{1}{i!}(m-1)^{d-1}\\
&\sim m^{d-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\gamma_{X,M}(m) = dim_k(X^m) = d + \binom{d}{2} + \sum_{k=3}^{m} |\mathcal{B}_k|,$$

M has polynomial growth of degree d. □

3. Wreath Product of two abelian Lie algebras

Let T be a Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic $p \neq 2$ and B a k-module. B is called a *right T-module*, if there exists a k-bilinear map $B \times T \to B$ satisfying the following :

$$b[t_1, t_2] = (bt_1)t_2 - (bt_2)t_1$$

for $b \in B$, $t_1, t_2 \in T$.
For a Lie algebra T and a right T- module B, we define the split extension $W = B]T$ of B by T as follows: As a vector space $W = B \oplus T$ is the direct sum of B and T, and the Lie operation on W is defined as

$$[b_1 + t_1, b_2 + t_2] = (b_1t_2 - b_2t_1) + [t_1, t_2]$$

for $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$, so W is a Lie algebra over k.
Here, we consider a special case of this construction that can be found in [Bau77, Lic84, Bah87]. Suppose A and T are finite dimensional abelian Lie algebras over a field k of characteristic $p \neq 2$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ be bases of A and T, respectively. Let $U = U(T)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of T and B be a free right U-module with the module basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$. B can be also viewed as a Lie algebra module for T where the action of T on B is the action of a subset of U on the U-module B and hence we can form the split extension $W = B]T$. A and T are Lie subalgebras of W, B is the ideal generated of W generated by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and it is called the *base ideal* of W. W is a Lie algebra generated by A and T. It is termed the *wreath product* of the Lie algebras of A and T and denoted by

$$W = A \wr T$$

(For the general definition of the wreath product of Lie algebras see [Šme73, Bah87]). As a vector space $W = B \oplus T$ is the direct sum of its abelian ideal B and abelian Lie subalgebra T.

Lemma 3. *[Bau77] Suppose that the Lie algebra W over k is the direct sum of B and T where B is an abelian ideal generated by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and T is an abelian Lie algebra generated by $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$:*

$$W = B \oplus T.$$

Then B is a Lie algebra spanned by

$$\{[a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}] \mid \ l \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \text{ and } j_1, \ldots, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}\}.$$

Proof. Firstly, we show that $W = B \oplus T$ is metabelian: Let w, w' be elements of W,

$$w = b + t \text{ and } w' = b' + t', \ \ b, b' \in B \ t, t' \in T.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [w, w'] &= [b + t, b' + t'] \\ &= [b, b' + t'] + [t, b' + t'] \\ &= [b, b'] + [b, t'] + [t, b'] + [t, t'] \\ &= [b, t'] - [b', t] \in B \end{aligned}$$

this implies that $W' \subset B$. Since B is abelian, $W^{(2)} = 0$. In W, all the elements can be written as linear combinations of left-normed commutators with elements from $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m, t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$.
Consider a commutator $x = [x_1, \ldots, x_s]$ for $s \geq 2$ and $x_i \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_m, t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$. If $x \neq 0$ then there is exactly one j such that $x_j \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ (In particular $j = 1$ or $j = 2$, otherwise $[x_1, x_2] = 0$): If $x_i \in \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, then $x = 0$. If there exist $x_k, x_l \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ for some $k \neq l$

then $x = [x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_{l-1}, x_l, \ldots x_s] = 0$ since $[x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_{l-1}], x_l \in B$ and their product is equal to 0. So W has a basis which is a subset of the following set:

$$\{t_1, \ldots, t_d\} \cup \{[a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]) \mid \ l \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \text{ and } j_1, \ldots, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}\}.$$

Since $B \cap T = \{0\}$,

$$B \leq span([a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}] \mid \ l \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \text{ and } j_1, \ldots, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\})$$

and we have $[a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}] \in B$ for l $\in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}$. Hence

$$B = span([a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}] \mid \ l \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \text{ and } j_1, \ldots, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}).$$

□

Corollary 2. *W can be presented by the generators*

$$a_1, \ldots, a_m, t_1, \ldots, t_n$$

and the following relations:

$$[t_i, t_j] = 0$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$[[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_r}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]] = 0$$

for $1 \leq k, l \leq m,\ \{i_1, \ldots, i_r, j_1, \ldots, j_s\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},\ r \geq 0,\ s \geq 0.$

The next lemma follows from a theorem of Lewin [Lew74] and it is reformulated in [Bau77] as:

Lemma 4. *Let F be a finitely generated free Lie algebra and R an ideal of F. Then F/R' can be embedded in $W = A \wr (F/R)$ where A is a finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra.*

Corollary 3. *Let M be a finitely generated free metabelian Lie algebra. Then there exist finite-dimensional abelian Lie algebras A and T such that M can be embedded in $W = A \wr T$.*

Proof. Let $R = L'_X$ be the commutator of the free Lie algebra L_X generated by X. Then by Lemma 4, $M = L_X/L_X^{(2)}$ can be embedded in $W = A \wr T$ where $T = L_X/L'_X$ and A is a finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra. □

4. Finitely presented metabelian Lie algebras

Let A and T be finite dimensional abelian Lie algebras over a field k of characteristic $p \neq 2$ and W the wreath product of A and T as we defined in the previous section. In [Bau77], Baumslag showed that W can be embedded in a finitely presented metabelian Lie algebra W^+. The construction of W^+ is as follows:
Let $\{a_1, \ldots a_m\}$ and $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ be bases of A and T, respectively. Then the universal enveloping algebra U of T is the associative k-algebra $k[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ of polynomials with variables $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ over k. Furthermore, let B be the free right U-module with module basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$. It is well known that U can be turned into a Lie algebra by defining a new multiplication in U by

$$[u, v] = uv - vu$$

U is simply an infinite dimensional abelian Lie algebra and T is a finite dimensional subalgebra of U. To get a finitely presented metabelian Lie algebra W^+, we consider a subalgebra T^+ of the Lie algebra U properly containing T as a subalgebra. Let T^+ be the subalgebra generated by $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n, u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ where

$$u_i = t_i^2 \ \text{ for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$$

T^+ is a $2n$-dimensional abelian Lie algebra and we define W^+ as the wreath product of A and T^+.

$$W^+ = A \wr T^+$$

Lemma 5. *[Bau77, Lemma 6]* W^+ *can be presented on the generators*

$$a_1, \dots, a_m, t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n,$$

subject to the relations

$$[[a_k, t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_r}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_s}]] = 0,$$

$(1 \le k \le m,\ 1 \le l \le m, i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$,

$$[t_i, t_j] = [t_i, u_j] = [u_i, u_j] = 0 \quad (1 \le i \le n,\ 1 \le j \le n),$$

$$[a_k, u_l] = [a_k, t_l, t_l] \quad (1 \le k \le n,\ 1 \le l \le n).$$

Proof. By the construction of W^+, we have the following relations

$$[a_k, u_i] = a_k t_i^2 = [a_k, t_i, t_i], \tag{2}$$

and, since T^+ is abelian

$$[t_i, t_j] = [t_i, u_j] = [u_i, u_j] = 0 \tag{3}$$

for any $1 \le k \le m,\ 1 \le i, j \le n$. It follows from Lemma 1 and (3) that

$$[a_k, x_1, \dots, x_s] = [a_k, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}] \tag{4}$$

if $1 \le k \le m$, $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ is any permutation of $1, \dots, s$. In view of (2) and (4), we see that

$$[a_k, t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \dots, t_{j_l}, u_i] = [a_k, t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \dots, t_{j_l}, t_i, t_i] \tag{5}$$

if $1 \le k \le d$, $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l, i\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots n\}$. By Lemma 3 and (5), we conclude that all the elements of W^+ can be presented as linear combinations of the monomials of the following set

$$S = \{a_1, \dots, a_m, t_1, \dots, t_m, u_1, \dots, u_m\} \cup \{[a_i, t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_s}] \mid i, j_1, \dots, j_s \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

The product of any two elements of S is defined in the given presentation. □

We will use the following lemmas to show that W^+ has a finite presentation.

Lemma 6. *[Bau77, Lemma 5] Let* L *be a Lie algebra of characteristic* $p \neq 2$. *Suppose* a, b, t, u *are elements of* L *and suppose*

$$[a, b] = [a, t, b] = [b, t, a] = [t, u] = 0$$

and

$$[a, u] = [a, t, t],\ [b, u] = [b, t, t].$$

Then

$$[[a, \underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{i}], [b, \underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{j}]] = 0$$

for every $i \ge 0, j \ge 0$.

Proof. Let us denote $a_0 = a$, $b_0 = b$ and

$$a_i = [a, \underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{i}],\ b_j = [b, \underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{j}],\ \text{for } i, j \ge 1.$$

To prove that $[a_i, b_j] = 0$ whenever $i, j \ge 0$, we apply induction on i and j. For $0 \le i, j \le 1$,

$$[a_0, b_0] = [a_1, b_0] = [a_0, b_1] = 0$$

are given relations. We only need to verify that $[a_1, b_1] = 0$ to complete the base cases of induction. by Lemma 1, $[a_1, b_0] = 0$ implies $[a_1, b_1] = [b_0, a_2]$. Similarly, $[b_1, a_0]$ implies $[b_1, a_1] = [a_0, b_2]$. So we get

$$[a_0, b_2] = [a_2, b_0] = [b_1, a_1] \tag{6}$$

In view of Lemma 1 and the given relations $[a_0, u] = a_2$ and $[b_0, u] = b_2$,

$$[a_0, b_2] = [a_0, [b_0, u]] = [b_0, a_2] \tag{7}$$

Combining (6) and (7), we get $[a_0, b_2] = [b_0, a_2] = [a_2, b_0]$. Since $char(k) \neq 2$, we conclude that $[a_0, b_2] = 0$. Thus $[a_1, b_1] = 0$. Now, suppose that

$$[a_i, b_j] = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n,\ 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

Since $[t, u] = 0$, by Lemma 1, we have

$$[a_i, u] = a_{i+2},\ [b_i, u] = b_{i+2} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Combining the induction hypothesis with Lemma 1 , we get

$$[a_i, b_{n+1}] = [b_n, a_{i+1}] = 0 \ \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \tag{8}$$

and similarly,

$$[b_j, a_{n+1}] = [a_n, b_{j+1}] = 0 \ \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \tag{9}$$

it remains only to verify that

$$[a_n, b_{n+1}] = [a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] = [b_n, a_{n+1}] = 0.$$

Now $[b_{n-1}, a_{n+1}] = 0$, so by Lemma 1

$$[b_{n-1}, a_{n+2}] = [b_{n-1}, [a_{n+1}, t]] = [a_{n+1}, b_n] \tag{10}$$

and $[b_{n-1}, a_n] = 0$ and $[a_n, b_n] = 0$ imply the following relations, respectively.

$$[b_{n-1}, a_{n+2}] = [b_{n-1}, [a_n, u]] = [a_n, b_{n+1}] \tag{11}$$

$$[a_n, b_{n+1}] = [b_n, a_{n+1}] = -[a_{n+1}, b_n] \tag{12}$$

Putting (10), (11) and (12) together, we get $-[a_{n+1}, b_n] = [a_{n+1}, b_n]$. Since $char(k) \neq 2$, this implies

$$[a_{n+1}, b_n] = 0 \tag{13}$$

and a similar argument shows that

$$[a_n, b_{n+1}] = 0 \tag{14}$$

We also need to verify that $[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] = 0$. By Lemma 1 ,

$$[a_n, b_{n+2}] = [b_{n+1}, a_{n+1}] \tag{15}$$

$$[a_n, b_{n+2}] = [b_n, a_{n+2}] \tag{16}$$

$$[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] = [b_n, a_{n+2}] \tag{17}$$

Combining (15), (16) and (17), we get $[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] = [b_{n+2}, a_n] = [a_{n+2}, b_n] = -[a_{n+1}, b_{n_1}]$ Therefore,

$$[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] = 0 \tag{18}$$

Equations (8), (9), (13), (14) and (18) completes the induction and the proof of Lemma 6.

□

Lemma 7. *For any $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,*

$$[a_k, t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \dots, t_{i_s}, a_l] = 0$$

implies that for any $r \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$,

$$[[a_l, t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \dots, t_{i_r}], [a_k, t_{i_{r+1}}, \dots, t_{i_s}]] = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 and equation (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[a_k, t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \ldots, t_{i_s}, a_l] &= [a_k, t_{i_2}, t_{i_3}, \ldots, t_{i_s}, t_{i_1}, a_l] \\
&= [[a_l, t_{i_1}][a_k, t_{i_2}, t_{i_3}, \ldots, t_{i_s}] \\
&= [[a_l, t_{i_1}][a_k, t_{i_3}, \ldots, t_{i_s}, t_{i_2}] \\
&= [[a_k, t_{i_3}, \ldots, t_{i_s}], [a_l, t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}] \\
&\ldots \\
&= [[a_l, t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \ldots, t_{i_r}], [a_k, t_{i_{r+1}}, \ldots, t_{i_s}]] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2. *W^+ can be presented by the generators*

$$a_1, \ldots, a_m, t_1, \ldots, t_n, u_1, \ldots, u_n,$$

subject to the finitely many relations

$$[a_k, t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \ldots, t_{j_s}, a_l] = 0 \quad (k, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \ldots j_s \le n),$$
$$[t_i, t_j] = [t_i, u_j] = [u_i, u_j] = 0 \quad (1 \le i \le n,\ 1 \le j \le n),$$
$$[a_k, u_l] = [a_k, t_l, t_l] \quad (1 \le k \le n,\ 1 \le l \le n).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5, we only need to show that

$$[[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_r}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]] = 0, \tag{19}$$

where $1 \le k \le m,\ 1 \le l \le m,\ i_1, \ldots, i_r, j_1, \ldots, j_s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},\ r \ge 0,\ s \ge 0$. To prove this, we apply induction on $r > 0$, $s > 0$:
If $r = s = 1$ we have

$$w = [[a_k, t_{i_1}], [a_l, t_{j_1}]]$$

If $i_1 = j_1$, we can apply Lemma 5 by taking $a = a_k$, $b = a_l$, $t = t_{i_1} = t_{j_1}$, $u = u_{i_1}$. Since we have the relations

$$[a, b] = [a, t, b] = [b, t, a] = [t, u] = 0$$
$$[a, u] = [a, t, t] \text{ and } [b, u] = [b, t, t],$$

we get

$$[[a, t], [b, t]] = 0.$$

If $i_1 \neq j_1$, the equation $w = 0$ follows from Lemma 7. Now assume that for $r \le q$, $s \le q$, we have

$$[[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_r}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]] = 0$$

Consider

$$w = [[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_q}, t_{i_{q+1}}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]]$$

for $s \le q$. If $t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_q}, t_{i_{q+1}}, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}$ are all distinct, $w = 0$ is followed from one of the given relations and Lemma 7. If not, we prove $w = 0$ as follows: By Lemma 1 and equation (1), we can assume without loss of generality that

$$t_{i_{q+1}} = t_{i_q} = t.$$

Taking $a = [a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_{q-1}}]$, $b = [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]$, $t = t_{i_q}$ and $u = u_{i_q}$, we can apply Lemma 5. Since a, b, t, u satisfy the relations in Lemma 6, we have

$$w = [[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_q}, t_{i_{q+1}}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_s}]] = [[a, t, t], b] = 0 \tag{20}$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$[[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_r}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_q}, t_{j_{q+1}}]] = 0 \tag{21}$$

for $r \le q$. To complete the proof, we only need to show that

$$[[a_k, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_q}, t_{i_{q+1}}], [a_l, t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_q}, t_{j_{q+1}}]] = 0$$

and it follows from the equations (20), (21) and Lemma 6.

□

5. Proof of Theorem 1

Let W be the wreath product of abelian Lie algebras A and T generated by $\{a_1,\dots,a_d\}$ and $\{t_1,\dots,t_d\}$, respectively. In the previous section we have shown that W is a subalgebra of a finitely presented Lie algebra W^+ generated by $\{a_1,\dots,a_d,t_1,\dots,t_d,u_1,\dots,u_d\}$. In order to prove Theorem 1, we compute the growth rate of W^+ in this section. In Corollary 3, we have shown that the free metabelian Lie algebra M generated by d elements can be embedded in W So we have

$$\gamma_M \sim n^d \precsim \gamma_{W^+}$$

To find an upper bound for the growth rate γ_{W^+} of W^+, we consider the number of the non-zero monomials in W^+. In the proof of Lemma 5, we have shown that all the elements of W^+ can be presented as linear combinations of the monomials of the following set

$$S=\{a_1,\dots,a_m,t_1,\dots,t_m,u_1,\dots,u_m\}\cup\{[a_i,t_{j_2},\dots,t_{j_s}]\ \mid\ 1\le i\le n,\ j_1,\dots,j_s\in\{1,\dots,n\}\}$$

and combining this with equation (4) we see that, as a vector space W^+ has a basis which is a subset of the following set:

$$\tilde{S}=\{a_1,\dots,a_d,t_1,\dots,t_d,u_1,\dots,u_d\}\cup\{[a_i,t_{j_i},t_{j_2},\dots,t_{j_s}]\mid 1\le i\le d,\ 1\le j_1\le j_2\le\cdots\le j_s\le d\}$$

So the growth function $\gamma_{W^+}(n)$ of W^+ is less than or equal to the number of elements of length not greater than n in $\tilde{S}$,

$$\gamma_{W^+}(n)\le 2d+d+\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} d\binom{s+d-1}{d-1}\sim\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} ds^{d-1}\sim n^d$$

and we conclude that

$$\gamma_{W^+}(n)\sim n^d.$$

To complete the proof, we consider the relation between the growth functions of a Lie algebra L and its universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$: Let L denote a Lie algebra generated over a field k by the finite set X whose elements are linearly independent over k and X^n denote the subspace of L spanned by all monomials of length less than or equal to n, as we defined in the first section. We may assume $L\subset U(L)$, so that X generates U as an associative algebra. Let $u_1,u_2,\dots$ be an ordered basis of L such that $X=\{u_1,\dots,u_{\gamma_L(1)}\}$ and $u_{\gamma_L(n-1)}\dots\gamma_{L(n)}$ is a basis for X^n/X^{n-1}, $n\ge 2$. By Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem [Jac62], monomials of the form

$$u_{i_1}\dots u_{i_r}\text{ with }i_1\le i_2\le\cdots\le i_r$$

form a basis for U and we get the following relation:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-t^n)^{-a_n} \tag{22}$$

where $a_n := dim(X^n/X^{n-1})$ and b_n:=number of monomials of length n in $U(L)$ ([Smi76]). The relation between the growth rates of a_n and b_n is given in the following proposition, the proof of which can be found in various papers ([Ber83], [Pet93], [BG00]).

Proposition 3. *If a_n and b_n are related by (22) and $a_n\sim n^d$, then $b_n\sim e^{n^{\frac{d+1}{d+2}}}$.*

So the growth of the universal enveloping algebra $U(W^+)$ of W^+ is

$$\gamma_{U(W^+)}(n)\sim e^{n^{\frac{d}{d+1}}}.$$

Since W^+ is finitely presented, so is $U(W^+)$ [Ufn90]. Hence we can conclude that for any integer $d>0$, there exists a finitely presented associative algebra of growth $e^{n^{\frac{d}{d+1}}}$.

References

[AS74] Ralph K. Amayo and Ian Stewart. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1974.

[Bah87] Yu. A. Bahturin. *Identical relations in Lie algebras*. VNU Science Press, b.v., Utrecht, 1987. Translated from the Russian by Bahturin.

[Bau77] Gilbert Baumslag. Subalgebras of finitely presented solvable Lie algebras. *J. Algebra*, 45(2):295–305, 1977.

[Ber83] A. E. Bereznyĭ. Discrete subexponential groups. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 123:155–166, 1983. Differential geometry, Lie groups and mechanics, V.

[BG00] Laurent Bartholdi and Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. Lie methods in growth of groups and groups of finite width. In *Computational and geometric aspects of modern algebra (Edinburgh, 1998)*, volume 275 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–27. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[Bok63] L. A. Bokut′. A basis for free polynilpotent Lie algebras. *Algebra i Logika Sem.*, 2(4):13–19, 1963.

[GK66a] I. M. Gel′fand and A. A. Kirillov. On fields connected with the enveloping algebras of Lie algebras. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 167:503–505, 1966.

[GK66b] I. M. Gelfand and A. A. Kirillov. Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (31):5–19, 1966.

[Gri83] R. I. Grigorchuk. On the Milnor problem of group growth. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 271(1):30–33, 1983.

[Gri84] R. I. Grigorchuk. Construction of p-groups of intermediate growth that have a continuum of factor-groups. *Algebra i Logika*, 23(4):383–394, 478, 1984.

[Gro81] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (53):53–73, 1981.

[Jac62] Nathan Jacobson. *Lie algebras*. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 10. Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-London, 1962.

[Lew74] Jacques Lewin. A matrix representation for associative algebras. I, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 188:293–308; ibid. 188 (1974), 309–317, 1974.

[Lic84] A. I. Lichtman. Growth in enveloping algebras. *Israel J. Math.*, 47(4):296–304, 1984.

[LU95] A. I. Lichtman and V. A. Ufnarovski. On growth of Lie algebras. *Algebra Colloq.*, 2(1):45–49, 1995.

[Mil68] J. Milnor. A note on curvature and fundamental group. *J. Differential Geometry*, 2:1–7, 1968.

[Pet93] V. M. Petrogradskiĭ. Some type of intermediate growth in Lie algebras. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 48(5(293)):181–182, 1993.

[Šme73] A. L. Šmel′kin. Wreath products of Lie algebras, and their application in group theory. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 29:247–260, 1973. Collection of articles commemorating Aleksandr Gennadievič Kuroš.

[Smi76] M. K. Smith. Universal enveloping algebras with subexponential but not polynomially bounded growth. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 60(1):22–24, 1976.

[Ste75] Ian Stewart. Finitely presented infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 26(5):504–507, 1975.

[Šva55] A. S. Švarc. A volume invariant of coverings. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 105:32–34, 1955.

[Ufn90] V. A. Ufnarovskiĭ. Combinatorial and asymptotic methods in algebra. In *Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions, Vol. 57 (Russian)*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 5–177. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1990.

[Wol68] Joseph A. Wolf. Growth of finitely generated solvable groups and curvature of Riemanniann manifolds. *J. Differential Geometry*, 2:421–446, 1968.